



**2011 SURVEY**

**OVERVIEW OF RESULTS**

# Background

---

- **This is the 4<sup>th</sup> parish survey conducted in Long Itchington in the last 16 years**
- **In 1995 a Parish Appraisal was conducted (45% response)**
- **In 2001 the first Village Opinion took place (83% response)**
- **In 2004 a survey was conducted to look specifically at 3 areas: Pond, new field & housing needs (re-development of Galanos site)**
- **In 2011 we have run the second Village Opinion survey**

**All these surveys have inputted into the development of the Parish Plan & influenced Parish & District Council decision making.**

# **Methodology**

---

- **The Parish was divided up into 52 areas**
- **Volunteers distributed questionnaires to households in these areas**
- **Collected them on completion**
- **2 different questionnaires:**
  - **a household questionnaire**
  - **individual adult questionnaires (11+)**
- **Questionnaires distributed late Jan & collected early Feb 2011**
- **In 2001 we had an adult (17+) questionnaire & a children's (5-16) questionnaire, which showed disquiet among 11+**
- **Because of the potential for LILAC to address this we wanted 11+ all to complete the same questionnaire this time**

# **The Response**

---

**Given a pattern of declining response rates nationally, the response was amazing...**


**734 of the 889 occupied households returned questionnaires**  
(although 2 were too late to be included in the data)

- a response rate of 83% (exactly the same as 2001)**
- compared to 45% in the 1995 Parish Appraisal**

**This was as a result of the dedication of the distributors / collectors, 14 of whom got a 100% response rate**

**A big thank you to all our collectors / distributors**

# Response Rate By Where People Live



The response rate was down in Model Village, reflecting more transient occupiers & possibly less identification with the village.

# **Volunteers**

---

**A new aspect of this year's survey was to ask for Volunteers to help with various things in the parish.**

**An incredible response was obtained to this:**

- c. 150 people volunteered (over 10%)**
- Every item listed (except one) had at least one volunteer**

# The Results

The results are generally shown as a % of those completing questionnaires

Where numbers are shown, these are 'grossed up', assuming that the households / individuals who responded reflect all households / individuals

The factors used:	Total	Responded	Grossing Factor
Households (occupied)	889	732	1.2

## In Responding Households

Individuals (11+)	1,564	1,456	1.1
-------------------	-------	-------	-----

This will not be completely accurate, because of different response rates (e.g. older, outlying & Model Village less likely to respond) but it is a reasonable basis for working.

# How Accurate Are The Results?

Using our grossing up factor, we estimate:

Best sources of independent information:

Adults aged 17+      1,698                      1,684      Electoral roll

The electoral roll isn't a perfect match – have to register & be eligible to vote at the next election – but it indicates our results are pretty accurate

		140	School roll
Parish children at		12	Live outside village
Primary School:	137	<hr/> 128	

We slightly over-estimate the parish children at Primary School because we get a higher response rate from families with children (c.90% as opposed to 83% overall)

**So, although not perfect, these results suggest a pretty accurate representation of the village.**

# Summary

---

- **There have been improvements in the last 10 years:**
  - **street lighting & litter bins**
  - **flood control & drainage**
  - **bus service** (but still not good)
  - **speeding & road crossings** (but still a problem)
- **But some things have got worse:**
  - **dog mess**
  - **refuse collection** (but still rated highly)
  - **children's playgrounds**
  - **parking & litter in certain areas**
  - **footpath from Model Village**
- **And some other things remain big issues for the parish:**
  - **facilities & activities for teenagers**
  - **police coverage**
  - **HS2**
- **LILAC has clear potential as a leisure & sports facility**

# **Opportunities For Action**

---

- **Areas which Parish Council / parishioners could potentially drive:**
  - LILAC
  - dog mess
  - facilities & activities for young people
  - ? new path from Model Village
- **Areas where Parish Council / parishioners could potentially contribute to discussions:**
  - speed control
  - parking in certain areas
- **And continue to lobby:**
  - for greater police presence
  - against HS2

# **Presentation Structure**

---

- 1. The Parishioners**
- 2. Services In The Parish**
- 3. Problem Issues**
- 4. LILAC**

# 1. THE PARISHIONERS


# Who Lives In The Parish?

The parish is made up of around:

	2011	2001	% Change
Occupied houses	889	811	+10%
Adults (17+)	1,698	1,580	+7%
Children (0-16)	438	452	-3%
	<hr/> 2,136	<hr/> 2,032	<hr/> +5%


**Number of occupied houses is up by around 10% (although possibly more outlying identified this time) & adults by slightly less. Number of children has, if anything, dropped slightly although partly be because last time we got 100% response from families at the Primary School & this time we did not.**

# Household Type




**Nearly 300 households are over 60 – a third of the village.**

# Age Of People Living In The Parish



**A fifth of people living in the parish are children. The age profile is similar to previously. Compared to the UK, we have fewer aged 22-39 & more aged 40+.**


# Length Of Time Lived In Parish



17% have lived in the parish all their life, 12% of those aged 27+

**A quarter of parishioners have lived here 5 years or less. More than in 1995, (although that may have been biased towards more established residents). Nearly a third have lived here over 25 years.**

# Occupation (Adults 18+)



**Nearly two thirds of the adults in the parish are working, but more are retired than in 2001. Around 260 work in the parish.**


# Disabled & Carers

---

	No.	
Registered disabled	71	(8% of households – 5% in 2001)
Carers	38	(4% of households)


**There are around 70 registered disabled in Long Itchington & just under 40 carers.**

# Items In Household & Would Like



**Most have a smoke alarm & loft insulation. Over two thirds are connected to the internet, compared to less than half in 2001.**

# PC Connection To Internet



**Latest UK household penetration: 73% (ONS Aug 2010)**

**By area, only 57% of those living East of the A423 are connected**


**Connection to the internet is lowest for those aged 60+ & those living east of the A423, but it is still over half for both.**

# Housing Needs

	Households	
	%	Approx No.
Anyone in household in need of <u>local</u> housing now or likely to be in next year	6	50
Housing Association / District Council Housing	3	28
Buy outright / with mortgage	2	17
Rent privately	2	13
Shared ownership	2	13
Name on District Council's Housing Waiting or transfer lists	2	18

**Around 50 people are looking for local housing, 28 Housing Association / District Council Housing, but only 18 are on the waiting or transfer lists.**


# HS2



**Most are aware of HS2, know it will go through Bascote & are against it being built. Two thirds think the Parish Council should campaign against it, but a fifth do not. 15% are in favour of HS2.**


## 2. SERVICES IN THE PARISH

# How Well Your Age Provided For In Village By Age




**Satisfaction with the parish is highest among 27-59 year olds. But more 11-17 year olds are dissatisfied than satisfied & it isn't much better for 18-26 year olds.**

# How Well Your Age Provided For Comparison To 2001




**11-17 year olds are more positive than they were in 2001, reflecting the effort that has been put in, but still the majority are dissatisfied, so more work is needed.**

# Community Organisations / Activities



**The Carnival & Bonfire Night are the most known & participated in activities, although there is possibly some overclaiming of “involvement”.**


# Community Organisations / Activities Among Youth




**There is a clear opportunity for the youth to know more about LILAC & the Educational Foundation.**

# Parish Communication

## Long Itchington Diary




## Websites




**Most are aware of the diary being delivered & about two thirds read it regularly.**

# Long Itchington Diary By Where People Live



**Only two thirds of outlying areas are aware of the diary being delivered regularly – they are also less likely to read it when it is delivered – along with those in Leather Street.**

# Parish Communication By Age



**Neither the diary nor the website are successfully reaching under 27s.**

# Rating Of Aspects Of Village Highest Rated (Aged 11+)

		Good	Reasonable	Poor	Since 2001
Refuse collection	%	56	32	5	↓
Long Itchington Diary	%	49	29	2	=
Community Centre	%	37	33	2	n/a
Street lighting	%	32	45	18	↑
Amount of info re. what's going on	%	29	47	8	=
Provision of public seats	%	27	47	13	=
Provision of litter bins	%	25	48	18	↑

All these aspects are rated pretty positively, particularly refuse collection & the Long Itchington Diary. But refuse collection is down since 2001. Street lighting & litter bins are up on 2001.

# Rating Of Aspects Of Village (Cont.)


## Middle Ranking (Aged 11+)

		Good	Reasonable	Poor	Since 2001
Playing fields	%	21	47	8	n/a
Flood control measures	%	21	45	7	↑
Children's playgrounds	%	21	40	11	↓
Drainage	%	18	49	16	↑
State of footpaths	%	17	55	19	=
State of pavements	%	17	53	23	=
Road cleaning	%	17	53	20	=
Road maintenance	%	11	47	35	=

These are reasonably rated, but footpaths, pavements & roads have at least a fifth rating them as poor – a third for road maintenance.

# Rating Of Aspects Of Village (Cont.)

## Lower Rated (Aged 11+)




These areas come in for more criticism, particularly police coverage & facilities / activities for teenagers. Although the bus service & police coverage ratings have improved – the latter slightly.


# Improvement In Ratings (1)

## Aged 18+


### Flood Control



### Street Lighting






### Litter Bins



**Flood control, street lighting & litter bin provision have all seen significant improvements in their ratings.**

# Improvement In Ratings (2)

## Aged 18+



**There have also been improvements on the ratings for drainage, bus service & police coverage – although nearly half still feel the police coverage is poor.**


# Slight Improvement In Ratings

## Aged 18+


### Road Cleaning

Good Reasonable


Poor




### State of Pavements



### State of Footpaths




### Road Maintenance




**These ratings on roads, pavements & footpaths are improving slightly over time, but only slightly.**

# Decline In Ratings

## Refuse Collection




## Children's Playgrounds




**Refuse collection & children's playgrounds both increased in 2001, but have dropped again in 2011.**

# Little Change In Ratings Aged 18+


## Long Itchington Diary



## Amount Of Info Re. What's Going On



## Provision Of Public Seats




## Facilities For Teenagers



**There has been little change in these ratings.**

# Usage Of Bus Service



**Nearly a quarter of parishioners use the bus service – very similar to 2001. But more would use it if the service was different.**

# Usage Of Bus Service By Age


	11-17	18-26	27-39	40-59	60+
	%	%	%	%	%
Use (as much as I want to)	26	13	8	6	20
Use, but would use more if service different	26	15	6	6	8
Don't use, but would use if service different	15	29	18	21	17
Don't use and don't want / need to	25	40	66	63	43
No reply	8	2	2	4	13

**The bus service is particularly important to the young & older parishioners.**

# Why Don't Use Bus Service (More) & What Service Would Use


## Why don't use (more)

%



## Service Would Use

%



Base: Those who would use (more) if service different

**The lack of frequency & length of journey are the main reasons why they don't use it (more).**

## 3. PROBLEM ISSUES

# Problems In The Parish



**When prompted on problems, vehicle speed remains the biggest issue, but down on 2001, followed by parking. Dog mess is up – a problem for nearly half of the parish.**

# Problems In The Parish By Where People Live

	East of A423 %	On A423 %	Mod Vill %	Leath St %	Green Cent %	West %	Out Lying %
Vehicles going too fast	66	63	50	71	67	63	44
Parking causing a hazard	64	35	37	32	70	56	39
Dog mess	51	31	35	31	51	58	21
Speed of broadband	31	41	34	32	33	48	46
Too many lorries on main road	33	61	43	71	42	34	31
Anti-social behaviour	37	26	9	27	62	32	10
Dirty / muddy roads & paths	26	23	71	18	41	21	16
Dangerous road crossings	34	35	24	40	26	19	12
Litter	26	17	12	21	40	25	18
Poor street lighting	19	11	35	10	20	27	11

**Most areas are concerned with vehicle speed & parking. Other things differ by area: lorries for those on A423 / Leather St; dirty / muddy roads / paths is biggest issue for Model Village & anti-social behaviour is an issue for the Green / Central part of the village.**


# Top 3 Problems By Where People Live

	East of A423	On A423	Mod Vill	Leath St	Green Cent	West	Out Lying
Vehicles going too fast	1	1	2	=1	2	1	2
Parking causing a hazard	2				1	3	3
Dog mess	3					2	
Speed of broadband		3					1
Too many lorries on main road		2	3	=1			
Anti-social behaviour					3		
Dirty / muddy roads & paths			1				
Dangerous road crossings				3			

**Vehicle speed is in every area's top 2 problems. Lorries are a big issue for those on / near the main road. Dirty / muddy roads & paths is the number 1 issue for those living in Model Village.**


# Traffic Problems Over Time

---



**Moving traffic problems, & particularly vehicle speed, have declined since 2001, but are still big issues for parishioners.**

# Traffic Problems By Where People Live



**All areas see traffic (particularly speeding) as a problem, & lorries for those living on the A423 in Leather Street.**

# Traffic Problems

## What People Say

---

***“I live on the main road. I have 2 teenagers. The speed of traffic needs sorting out & seriously slowing down before someone is killed.”***

***“Lorries & traffic travelling too fast along Marton Road are a danger to school children using the path. Frequent accidents.”***


***“Vehicles going too fast, too many lorries. This is on the main Banbury Road. It feels very uncomfortable pushing a pram next to vehicles driving through at 40-50mph.”***

***“The speed limit by Model Village / Railway Cottages should be reduced to 30mph in keeping with the main village. Speed control by police is a necessity here as lorries (not Cemex) regularly break the speed limit making it dangerous for children & animals.”***

**Also reference to speeding on Leamington Road, Church Road, Stockton Road & Bascote Heath.**

**Concern about the effectiveness of the zebra crossing on the A423 & need for a crossing by the school.**

# Parking Causing A Hazard By Where People Live



**Parking is the number 2 problem with half the parish seeing it as a problem – particularly those living in the east, west & central part of the village.**

# Parking Problems

## What People Say

---

- The main site of parking problems mentioned is by Bizzy Tots
- Although mention is also made of:
  - By the Buck & Bell / Green End
  - Stockton Road / at the school
  - Elsewhere in Church Road
  - On pavements in general

***“Parking causes a hazard. The cars parked outside the nursery make me nervous – a child could easily run out between the cars.”***


***“Football & Buck & Bell parking in Green End – often double parked on road or on pavement. I often struggle to drive or walk down my own road!”***

***“Parking at school is really dangerous, accident waiting to happen. Green area on Stockton Road could be car park spaces – wasted as grass.”***

# Much Of The Parking Issues Are Caused By Vehicles In The Parish

- The number of households in the parish increase
- So do the number of vehicles per household

## Average No. of Vehicles per Household




In the parish, there are about....

	2011	2001	Change
Cars	1,327	1,156	+15%
Vans / lorries	83	80	+4%
Motorbikes	50	65	-23%
	<u>1,460</u>	<u>1,301</u>	<u>+12%</u>


**There has been a 15% increase in the number of cars in the parish since 2001.**

# Where Vehicles Parked



**Most people claim to park on their own property, but this still leaves over 200 vehicles parked on the street / pavement.**

# Dog Mess By Where People Live



**Dog mess is a particular concern of those living in the east, central & west areas, although other areas have also seen an increase.**

# Dog Mess

## What People Say

---

*“Dog mess everywhere.”*

*“Dog owners allowing fouling in inappropriate places, particularly footpaths & green areas, & others allowing fouling near path & / or not clearing up properly.”*


*“Dog mess on pavements needs to stop. It’s horrible with buggies & small children, dangerous.”*

*“As a recent new dog owner I am disappointed by the amount of dog mess in the village.”*

*“Long Itchington dog owners (some) should be targeted as an anti-social group.”*

**But there are also quite a few requests for more dog bins.**


# Pets In The Parish



22% of those with pets have them from rescue centres

**There are nearly 900 pets in the village, including over 320 dogs – 10% up on 2001. No wonder dog mess is an issue.**

# Dirty / Muddy Roads & Paths A Problem By Where People Live



**A quarter think dirty / muddy roads & paths are a problem, but nearly three quarters of those living in Model Village.**

# The Walk From Model Village Is A Real Issue

---


***“Footpaths are filthy! From Model Village to school myself & children get muddy just walking to school.”***

***“The walk from Model Village not only is a long one, it is also very dangerous. The lighting is poor, the footpaths are narrow & uneven & covered in mud from traffic load. The path is so close to the road that when the traffic goes past it pushes you out of the way slightly. I don’t want my daughters going along there. If it was better I’d walk to school.”***

***“Pavements are appalling, poorly maintained & thick with mud, especially from Railway Cottages to canal bridge.”***

***“The pathway from Model Village into village is very messy. Would love a pathway off the main road – maybe through the field into village.”***

# Anti-Social Behaviour & Litter By Where People Live



**Anti-social behaviour is a very big issue for those living in the central part of the village, where litter is also an increasing problem.**

# Many People Recognise The Issue With Bored Teenagers

---

*“There is nothing for teenagers to do.”*

*“Boring for teenagers. Need a place to meet & hang out – pool, snooker, music, games.”*


*“Lack of teenage facilities & subsequent problems caused by bored youths.”*

*“Facilities for teenagers, somewhere where they can hang out & feel safe, but also be checked on occasionally by police, to deter unsuitable behaviour.”*

*“Clubs for teenagers – people bleat about anti-social behaviour but nobody provides anywhere for teenagers.”*

**The lack of police presence is also an issue that many people mentioned.**

# Other Problems In Village By Where People Live



**Poor street lighting is raised most by people living in Model Village.**

## 4. LILAC

# **Summary – LILAC**

---

- **The key message is for a leisure (broad sense) & sports facility**
- **The leisure aspect has greater potential to involve more people**
- **And counteract some of the negatives associated with sport**
  
- **The jogging track attracts a lot of interest, but there is debate about what it should & shouldn't be**
  
- **The “youth” aspects are recognised as a way of addressing a significant problem in the village**
- **But there are inevitable concerns about creating more problems**
  
- **Sport has a clear role, but comes with its own issues** (noise, parking)
- **Tennis courts are the most popular despite the current provision**
- **Bowls green would be used by more than football pitch & would attract a different group** (1/3 of households have no-one under 60!)
- **Golf attracts a mixed reception**
  
- **Key issues to reassure people on: affordability, inclusivity & sustainability** (supervision, maintenance etc) **particularly re pavilion**

# **Specific Questions About LILAC**

---

**Everyone was given a possible plan for the area (see next chart) & given the following description:**

## **RECREATIONAL FACILITIES**


**Following requests for more leisure facilities in the 2001 Survey, the Parish Council acquired a new field adjacent to the existing recreation area at Green End, doubling the area available.**

**A possible (but not final) plan is on the back of the household information sheet. This includes:**

- A new pavilion with car parking & access from the Marton Road**
- 3 sports pitches & 2 tennis courts**
- Area for young people**
- A nature trail / jogging / dog walking track around the perimeter**
- The existing small children's play area & skateboard ramp**

# LONG ITCHINGTON LEISURE & COMMUNITY PROJECT

This is a possible plan for developing the land at Green End. It is **not** a final plan – we want your views (Q8 on the Individual’s Questionnaire). A more detailed version of this plan can be seen in the Parish Council Office at the Community Centre or on [www.longitchingtonparishcouncil.org](http://www.longitchingtonparishcouncil.org)



NATURE TRAIL / JOGGING TRACK / DOG WALKING?

MARTON ROAD

POND

TENNIS COURTS OR OTHER USAGE?

CAR PARK

EXISTING FOOTBALL PITCH

EXISTING PLAY AREA

CYCLE / BMX TRACK?

PAVILION

EXISTING SKATEBOARD RAMP

TREES

PICNIC AREA

OPEN AREA OR ANOTHER SPORTS PITCH?

ANOTHER SPORTS PITCH?

BUCK & BELL

GREEN END

LEAMINGTON ROAD

WILDLIFE / FLOWER AREA

NATURE TRAIL / JOGGING TRACK / DOG WALKING ROUTE ?

# Overall Views of LILAC

## Most are positive

*“Really good idea – excellent focus for the village.”*

*“The facilities that are proposed would be of great value to all ages.” (Age 65+)*

*“This is a good village – well done for making it better.”*

## Some are cautious

*“Keep the developments for the playing fields within keeping of village.”*

## And there is some opposition

*“This is not acceptable.”*

Of those who wrote in comments:



# **The Potential Facilities We Asked About**

**We asked people to say which of a number of suggested facilities they would like, use & were really against**

**For this analysis I have divided them into 3 groups:**

## **Leisure**

**Dog walking**  
**Jogging track**  
**Nature trail**  
**Picnicking area**  
**Wildlife / flower area**

## **Sport**


**Bowls green**  
**Cricket pitch**  
**Football pitch**  
**Golf area**  
**Netball court**  
**Tennis court**

## **Youth**

**Cycle / BMX track**  
**Hang out area**  
**Skateboard ramp**

**Please note the broad definition of “leisure”  
This includes enjoying the environment as well as activity**

# LILAC Facilities Interested In Summary



**While all 3 areas are of interest, it is the leisure ones that attract the most interest.**

# **There Is A Clear Demand For A Balance Of Facilities**


---

***“Not keen on field ‘taken over’ by football pitches. One is enough. There should be a balance in facilities for young people as well as older generations.”***

***“I do not want an area to be taken over with sports pitches encouraging lots of activities over weekends with lots of people there. Quiet, walking activities would enhance the village more.”***


***“Non-sporting activities are extremely important for the new field.”***

# LILAC Facilities Interested In Detail



**The nature trail & wild area are of the most interest, followed by the picnicking area, jogging track & tennis court.**

# Pavilion Uses Interested In



**The café attracts the most interest, but there is also support for a teenage club & gym.**

# Use Of Pavilion Facilities By Age

	11-17	18-26	27-39	40-59	60+
	%	%	%	%	%
Cafe	55	43	55	38	24
Gym	46	60	40	29	8
Cinema club	31	24	28	26	13
Function hall	20	18	30	22	12
Meeting rooms	12	8	9	11	8
Changing rooms & showers	13	17	9	7	1
Teenage club	36	9	6	4	1

**The top 4 have appeal across most of the age ranges.  
A third of 11-17s say they would use the teenage club.**

# **Some Ideas Attract Quite a Lot Of Comment / Debate**

---

## **The Track**

- **Possibly too close to houses**
- **Whether it should also be for dogs or dogs should be banned**
- **Surface needs to be suitable for wheelchairs / prams**

## **Dogs**

- **Dog walking / track great**
- **Kept away / banned (from sports facilities)**

## **Youth Area**

- **Seen as a solution to a real issue in the village**
- **But risk that it is an opportunity for more anti-social behaviour**
- **Needs organisation / supervision / management**

## **Pavilion**

- **Enthusiasm from sports teams**
- **But real concern about costs, maintenance & duplication**

# **Issues Raised Which Need Consideration**

---

## **Duplication of facilities**

**Community Centre, tennis courts, cricket pitch, cafe**

**But interest in tennis court suggest further exploration**

## **Outsiders using facilities**

**A small, but vociferous, group (11 people) objected to the Leamington Hibs & Wulfstan Dynamos outcome (outsiders using the village football pitch)**

**But obviously a clear need to focus facilities on villagers rather than outsiders**

## **Car parking**

**Some feeling that car park needs to be bigger**

**Parking is a real issue currently**

**But concern about the entrance off the busy Marton Road**

## **Local residents / Location of facilities**

**Car parking is an issue (currently)**

**But so is the location of noisy sports facilities close to houses**

**Refers to The Green, Green End & Willow Grove**

## **Funding, supervision & maintenance**

**How can we fund it?**

**Must then be able to supervise & maintain it**